Bayesian analysis of galaxy cluster properties with *pyproffit* and *hydromass*

Dominique Eckert, Stefano Ettori

Deconvolution amplifies noise

Observed galaxy cluster profiles are noisy realizations of *projected* and *PSF-convolved* physical quantities



• The convolution kernel smoothes fluctuations, thus deconvolution has the opposite effect

"Traditional" approaches

Parametric form: Only as good as what the adopted function can reproduce

Direct inversion: Amplifies noise, depends on the chosen binning, can lead to unphysical solutions



Eckert et al. 2013

Decomposition on a basis of functions

 Multiscale approach: decompose the observed profile onto a basis of functions which can be individually deprojected/deconvolved



• **Optimization** performed with Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (PyMC3)

PSF deconvolution

 To account for PSF smearing we create a PSF mixing matrix using FFT Annulus
Kernel
Convolved



We count the fraction of photons being recorded annulus by annulus, and repeat the operation for each annulus

PSF convolution: tests

Point source

Beta model



Eckert et al. 2020

Integrated quantities

• The code is able to determine accurate luminosities, including core-excised ones, even for sources detected with 30 counts



Pyproffit: a Python package for surface brightness analysis

• The code is distributed in the public Python package *pyproffit*



https://pyproffit.readthedocs.io

Non-parametric log-normal mixture deprojection

• We suppose that the function of interest (temperature profile) can be described as a linear combination of a large number (P) of log-normal functions

$$T(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{P} N_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(\ln(r) - \ln(\mu_i))^2}{2 \sigma_i^2}\right)$$

For a basis of functions {G_i} characterized by predefined means {μ_i} and standard deviations {σ_i}, the temperature profile can be determined by optimizing the normalizations {N_i},

$$\log L = -0.5 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(T_j - T_{model}(r_j))^2}{\sigma_{T,j}^2}$$

with T_{model} a function of T(r) given above.

Non-parametric 3D temperature profile reconstruction

• Example: A1795



Red: 2D temperature data

Green: 3D model obtained with PyMC3

Blue: Best-fit 3D model reprojected to compare with data

PSF convolution of temperature profiles

• In this case the relation between T_{2D} and T_{3D} is the same as before, but with a matrix T = PSF · V



Hydrostatic mass reconstruction

• The multiscale density and temperature models can be optimized jointly



Fitting a mass model

• If we choose to use a parametric mass model:

 $M(<\!r)=f(r,\theta)$

• The "total" HSE pressure becomes

$$P(r,\theta) = P_0 + \int_r^{r_0} \frac{\rho_{gas} Gf(r',\theta)}{r'^2} dr'$$

with r_o the outermost radius of the profile and P_o the pressure at r_o

- At any point in the fitting process, multiscale parameters predict $\rho_{\rm gas}$ such that with the mass model we can predict P(r, $\theta)$
- Priors on the model parameters θ can be easily set in the code

Posterior parameter distributions

• Example posterior distributions for the Einasto fit



Tests on mock data

• We created mock XMM observations of a fiducial NFW cluster, including projection, PSF convolution, energy redistribution etc.



• Our code recovers the true profile with <3% accuracy

Eckert et al. 2022a

Example: application to A1795

• Joint XMM + Planck reconstruction of the 3D cluster properties



Eckert et al. 2022a

Application to A1795

• Derived thermodynamic and mass profiles



Eckert et al. 2022a

Summary

- We introduce *pyproffit* and *hydromass*, two public Python packages to reconstruct galaxy cluster properties
- The tools use multiscale decomposition to deproject and deconvolve observed profiles: King functions (density) and Gaussian processes (temperature)
- 1D PSF convolution with a mixing matrix is accurate at the sub-percent level
- S_x , T_{spec} and y_{sz} profiles can be fitted jointly to reconstruct n_{3D} , T_{3D}
- The packages include fast Bayesian optimization using Hamiltonian Monte Carlo
- Within a single common framework *hydromass* also includes fitting with many popular mass models (e.g. NFW, Einasto), parametric forward model, and polytropic reconstruction
- Tests using mock data show that the method is accurate at the <3% level
- Extensive documentation is already in place for *pyproffit* and will be there soon for *hydromass*. Please try them out and give us feedback!

Work in progress

- Joint fit with weak lensing data
- Add non-thermal pressure modeling
- Constrain line-of-sight elongation and 3D structure
- Marginalize over the position of the center
- Test reconstruction with mock observations of hydrodynamical simulations

Bonus: AGN spectral parameters

 We recently presented a Bayesian approach to the reconstruction of AGN spectral parameters from X-ray survey data



ArXiv: 2111.14925 and 2111.15235

Choice of parameters

Given a temperature profile with N points measured at radii {r_i}, we set
P=100 Gaussians with means logarithmically spaced between the center and the

$$\log \mu_j = \log r_0 + j(\log r_{max} - \log r_0)$$

- And standard deviations set to the bin size in order to kill fluctuations on a scale smaller than the binning
- The values of $\{\sigma_i\}$ can be tuned to achieve more/less smoothing

Implementation on 2D profiles

- First I started by testing how well the model can reproduce the shape of 2D profiles
- Optimization performed using PyMC3 (Hamiltonian Monte Carlo)



Now let's move to 3D

• We set V_{i,j} the volume of (spherical) shell j projected onto (cylindrical) shell i, then the 2D temperature is given by

$$T_{2D}(r_i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{i,j} w_j T_{3D}(r_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{i,j} w_j}$$

with w_i the spectroscopic-like weights. Here we use Mazzotta et al. (2004) weights,

$$w_{j} = EM_{3D}(r_{j})T_{3D}(r_{j})^{-3/4}$$

 It is easy to substitute here another function for the weights and to include the PSF matrix, since only the relation between T_{2D} and T_{3D} is changed; otherwise the problem is the same